

**LINKED MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO, HELD IN THE MUNICIPAL BUILDING  
ON MARCH 12, 2013 AT 6:00 P.M.**

Present:	Dale Janway	Mayor
	Paul C. Aguilar	Councilor
	Nick G. Salcido	Councilor
	Sandra K. Nunley	Councilor
	J. R. Doporto	Councilor
	Judith E. Waters	Councilor
	Jason Shirley	Councilor
	Richard Doss	Councilor
	Janell E. Whitlock	Councilor

Absent: None

Also Present:	Jon Tully	City Administrator
	Steve McCutcheon	Deputy City Administrator
	Eileen P. Riordan	City Attorney
	Annette Barrick	City Clerk
	Nadine Mireles	Deputy City Clerk
	Monica Harris	Finance Director
	Stephanie Shumsky	Planning, Eng., & Reg. Director
	Luis Camero	Public Works Director
	Rick Lopez	Fire Chief
	Danny Fierro	Police Chief
	Patsy Jackson-Christopher	Community Dev. Director

Time Stamps and headings below correspond to recording of meeting and the recording is hereby made a part of the official record.

0:00:00      **Call Meeting to Order**

0:00:01      Invocation - Pledge of Allegiance

0:00:42      **1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

0:00:46      **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Aguilar and seconded by Councilman Doporto to approve the Agenda.

0:00:49        **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - None; Absent - None; The motion carried.

0:01:02        **2.        ROUTINE AND REGULAR BUSINESS**

A. Minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting held on February 26, 2013

B. Personnel Report

1) Museum Director-Dave W. Morgan

C. Purchasing:

1) Consider approval to Advertise Invitation for Bid for the purchase of one (1) 25 Cubic Yard Compactor/Receiver

2) Consider approval to Advertise Invitation for Bid for repairs to Water Reservoir No. 2

3) Consider approval to Advertise Invitation for Bid for the purchase of One (1) Water Line Tapping Machine and accessories

4) Consider approval to Advertise Invitation for Bid for the purchase of One (1) Well Inspection Camera

5) Consider approval to Advertise Invitation for Bid for the replacement of the HVAC system for the Pecos River Village Conference Center

6) Consider approval to Award Bid No. 2013-17, to Constructors, Inc. for Airport Road Culverts in the amount of \$125,685.76

7) Consider approval to Award Bid No. 2012-59, to Grants and Technology Veterans, LLC, for Seven (7) Tablet PC's

8) Consider approval to purchase as Sole Source from Emerson Process Management the Water Department SCADA System Replacement and Upgrade

9) Consider approval to purchase Professional Services from Smith Engineering Company for the design of Convenience Station Improvements

10) Consider approval to purchase a ModSpace 28 X 70 Modular Office for the Bob Forrest Youth Sports Complex pursuant to GSA Contract

D. Monthly Department Reports:

1) Personnel Department Monthly Report for February 2013

2) Planning, Engineering & Regulation Department Monthly Report for February 2013

E. Set Date: April 9, 2013

1) Consider approval to set date to consider Ordinance regarding the donation of City-owned property in the Carlsbad Airport Industrial Park to Eddy County as the site for a new Eddy County Sheriff's Department Facility

F. Set Date: April 23, 2013

1) Consider approval to set date to consider an Ordinance for a Zone Change from R-1 Residential District to C-2 Commercial District for an approximately 1.5 acres, legally known as the Dowmac Subdivision, Block 5, Lots 10, 11, and 12, pursuant to Section 3-21-1 et. Seq. NMSA 1978 and Sections 56-150(b) and 56-140(i), Carlsbad Code of Ordinances

2) Consider approval to set date to consider an Ordinance for a Zone Change from R-R Rural Residential District to C-2, Commercial District for an approximately 1 acre parcel, legally know as Joel Subdivision, Block D, Lots 17 & 18 (east of 909 Loving Avenue) pursuant to Section 3- 21-1 et. Seq. NMSA 1978 and Sections 56-150 (b) and 56-140(i), Carlsbad Code of Ordinances 3) Consider approval to set date to consider an Ordinance for the sale of approximately 10 acres of Parcel 5-A of Unit 5, in the Carlsbad Airport Industrial Park to Wilbanks Trucking, Inc.

0:01:24        **Mayor Janway asked Mr. Tully to discuss Items C.6 Consider approval to Award Bid No. 2013-17, to Constructors, Inc. for Airport Road Culverts in the amount of \$125,685.76; Item C.10 Consider approval to purchase a ModSpace 28 x 70 Modular Office for the Bob Forrest Youth Sports Complex pursuant to GSA Contract; and Item E.1 Consider approval to set date to consider Ordinance regarding the donation of City-owned property in the Carlsbad Airport Industrial Park to Eddy County as the site for a new Eddy County Sheriff's Department Facility.**

**Mr. Tully** explained that Item C.6 is a request to approve a Bid to Constructors for Airport Road Culverts. He explained that this is the final Phase of the Airport Road Improvement Projects.

**Mr. Tully** explained that Item C.10 is a request to approve the purchase by GSA Contract of a modular office building for the Bob Forrest Youth Sports Complex. He explained that the old house will be removed and the modular office will be placed in the location.

**Mr. Tully** explained that Item E.1 is a request to set the date to Consider the Ordinance which will permit the donation of City property at the Industrial Park to Eddy County for the construction of the Sheriff's Department Facility.

0:03:05        **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Aguilar and seconded by Councilman Doporto to approve Routine and Regular Business.

0:03:09        **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - None; Absent - None; The motion carried.

0:03:27        **3. CONSIDER ENFORCEMENT OR RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. 2013-06, WHICH REQUIRES THE DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL OF THE BUILDINGS AND DEBRIS AT 1209 N. EIGHTH STREET**

**Ms. Riordan** explained that 1209 N. 8th St. came before Council on January 22, 2013 and was within the DeVargas Estate. She explained that since January 22, 2013 the deeds have been issued and title now belongs to Joseph Hurley and Tina Tawater. She explained that this property has been inspected by the City's Code Enforcement Officer,

the Building Inspector, and the Fire Marshal and they have all found that the property is in violation of a number of building, health, safety laws, and codes. She added that based upon the age of the structure, the lack of maintenance and the condition of the structure, staff believes that the property is no longer feasible to rehabilitate and should be condemned. She said Mr. Morgan, personal representative of the DeVargas estate filed a written objection and the matter was set for a hearing.

0:05:51      **A.      Public Hearing**

**Mr. Jay Frances** came before Council and explained that he is representing Tina Tawater on her inheritance of the DeVargas Estate. He explained that the deed was just recently transferred to Ms. Tawater. He explained that Ms. Tawater acknowledges that the property is dilapidated and not able to be rehabilitated. He is present to ask that Council give Ms. Tawater some time to get the property cleaned up and possibly sell the property. He added that it is understood that if the property is sold the building will need to be demolished, and his client is asking for 60 days to try to sell the property to someone who will remove the structure.

**Councilman Aguilar** asked Mr. Francis what will happen if the property is not sold in 60 days. Mr. Francis said that if it is not sold, the City will have to demolish the structure.

**Councilman Whitlock** asked if this property was brought before Council in August of 2011. **Ms. Riordan** explained that although this is part of the DeVargas estate this particular property did not come before Council until January 2013.

**Councilman Whitlock** asked what the letter from Helen DeVargas stating that the City had control of the property for two years means. **Ms. Riordan** explained that she does not know and added that the City certainly did not have control of the property.

**Councilman Waters** added that she would like to see the property demolished in 60 days not sold to someone else and have to start the entire process again.

0:12:21      **Mayor Janway asked if anyone else would like to speak regarding this issue. No one appeared and the Mayor declared the public hearing closed and reconvened the Council in regular session.**

0:12:29      **B.      Consider Objection**

0:12:38      **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Waters and seconded by Councilman Shirley to give an additional 60 days to demolish and remove the buildings and debris at 1209 N. 8th St.

0:12:49           **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - None; Absent - None; The motion carried.

0:13:07           **4. REVIEW AND CONSIDER STATUS OF 1213 N. EIGHTH STREET (ALSO KNOWN AS 1215 N. 8TH); 2503 AND 2505 LEGION STREET WHICH, UNDER RESOLUTION NO. 2011-65, ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE THEIR BUILDINGS REMOVED AND THE PROPERTY CLEANED**

**Ms. Riordan** explained this is also a portion of the DeVargas Estate. She explained that this matter first came before Council in September 2011. She said that in late February 2013 the estate deeded out the property to the new owners. She explained that 2505 Legion was deeded to Jay Diego DeVargas and Martha Dunn; 2503 Legion is now owned by Christine DeVargas; and 1215 N. 8th is owned by Carolyn Carmichael. She explained that these properties have been inspected by the City's Code Enforcement, the Fire Marshal, and by the Building Inspector dating back prior to September 2011. She added that staff found the buildings to be in violation of a number of health, safety, and building codes. She explained that Staff does not feel the structures can be reasonably rehabilitated and recommends demolition.

0:16:06           **Ms. Carolyn Carmichael** thanked the Council for being patient and explained that it has been a nightmare for all involved. She explained that she just received the deed for the property and would like the opportunity to sell. She added that she has a list of people who would like to purchase the property, demolish the structure, and build business offices.

**Ms. Christine DeVargas** added that the heirs received their deeds on March 8, 2013 and prior to that date she had no authority to do anything to the property. She said that she has an estimate from Mayfield Construction to bring the structure up to code and is asking for 60 days.

**Councilman Whitlock** asked code enforcement if they believe the structure can be brought up to code. Mr. Cass, code enforcement officer, said that he has reviewed the bid and does not think it will be enough. He mentioned that there is a third structure on the property that is not being addressed.

**Ms. DeVargas** explained that Jay DeVargas and Martha Dunn received their notifications late and were not able to make the meeting. She explained that she believes they are going to sell the property at 2505 Legion Street.

**Ms. Riordan** explained that she spoke with Ms. Dunn by telephone and provided her with an email and fax number and asked to receive her response in writing and nothing was received. She added that she also spoke with Mr. Jay DeVargas and asked him to provide his response in writing and has not received anything from him.

0:23:28        **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Whitlock and seconded by Councilman Aguilar to approve 60 days to have the properties at 1213 N. 8th St., 2503 & 2505 Legion Street in compliance or demolished with no further extensions.

0:23:42        **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - Doss; Absent - None; The motion carried.

0:24:07        **5. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2013-14, A RESOLUTION DECLARING CERTAIN CITY PROPERTY TO BE OBSOLETE AND UNUSABLE**

**Mr. Tully** explained that this is a standard resolution declaring certain City Property obsolete and unusable.

0:24:31        **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Nunley and seconded by Councilman Waters to approve Resolution No. 2013-14, a Resolution declaring certain City property to be obsolete and unusable.

0:24:41        **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - None; Absent - None; The motion carried.

0:24:54        **6. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2013-15, A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDING TO THE NM DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR RECONSTRUCTION OF SAN JOSE BOULEVARD, PHASE 3**

**Mr. Tully** gave a summary explaining that at the February 26, 2013 meeting Council approved San Jose Phase 3 as a project designated for the Municipal Arterial Program application funding. He explained that Phase 3 is intended to run from the CID bridge, to include a new bridge, to Monterrey St.

0:26:11        **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Aguilar and seconded by Councilman Salcido to approve Resolution No. 2013-15, a Resolution supporting the application for Grant Funding to the NM Department of Transportation for Reconstruction of San Jose Boulevard, Phase 3

0:26:15        **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - None; Absent - None; The motion carried.

0:26:28

**7. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE NO. 2013-07, AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING A PORTION OF LAND CONTAINING 23.15 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, CONTIGUOUS TO THE CITY OF CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF GUADALUPE STREET BETWEEN VINEYARD LAND AND CHERRY LANE PURSUANT TO THE PETITION METHOD PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION 3-7-1 ET. SEQ., NMSA 1978**

**Ms. Shumsky** explained that this is a request for annexation of approximately 23 acres, the applicant is Francis G. Tracy Jr. Credit Trust; Ms. Louise Tracy is the representative of the Trust. She explained that the property is adjacent to City limits and is in the close vicinity of water and sewer lines. She explained that the applicant provided the City a petition that is essentially a plat that shows the boundaries of the annexation area which met the State requirements for the petition. She added that the Planning and Zoning Commission reviewed the request and made a recommendation of denial based on the concerns of the surrounding residents. She explained that the petition method that the State allows municipalities and applicants to utilize is between the land owners and the municipality and that the municipality has a plan for growth in the area and can be served with City services in a reasonable amount of time. She explained that portions of the adjacent roadways are in City limits. She explained that the annexation includes a small 0.80 acre parcel owned by Mr. Randall and Deborah Counts, who do not support the proposed annexation. She explained that although Mr. and Mrs. Counts do not support the annexation, not including their property would leave a small island of County property surrounded by the City and the State does not allow the City to do that.

**Councilman Aguilar** asked what Ms. Tracy intends to do with the land. **Ms. Shumsky** said that she believes that the property is in the planning stages and Ms. Tracy is trying to manage the estate holdings. She added that she is unsure if Ms. Tracy has any imminent development plans.

**Councilman Doport** asked if under the current zoning, Ms. Tracy can sell the land as one acre lots and the buyer could place single wide mobile homes in the area. **Ms. Shumsky** answered yes and explained that the property is currently in the County zoned RC-3 and a variety of uses are allowed in the area. She explained some of the uses: Residential - one dwelling unit per acre; farming uses; livestock usage; storage of personal travel trailers; radio and TV transmitters; mining of sand and gravel; private clubs; parks and recreation areas; churches; kennels; hospitals; schools; commercial stables; individual mobile homes.

**Councilman Whitlock** clarified that even if the land is not annexed, Ms. Tracy can have any of the uses above for her property. **Ms. Shumsky** answered yes. She explained that the benefit of the annexation to the City is to have any future development of the area connected to the City's sewer services. She explained that if it remains in the County and is subdivided into 1 acre lots it is not economically feasible for each lot to have individual septic systems.

0:34:00      **Discussion regarding uses allowed in Rural Residential areas.**

0:37:10      **A.      Public Hearing**

**Ms. Flora Louise Tracy** explained that she is Trustee of the Francis G. Tracy Jr. Credit Trust and is also an individual owner of part of the parcel. She explained that her family has no plans to develop; she said that they are trying to annex the area to be able to sell and close quickly. She explained that the family authorized her to put the parcel on the market in August and she has been working through the process for 8 months. She explained that over the last few years there have been a number of parcels that the family has sold and 1 or 2 of the sales fell through because they were not in the City limits or not zoned and she is trying to help that process along.

0:38:27      **Ms. Tracy explained the map of the proposed annexation area and explained that she has no plans to develop the area.**

0:45:34      **County Commissioner Jack Volpato explained that the area being discussed is in his district and he is opposed to the annexation.**

**Commissioner Volpato** explained that he feels people should be able to do what they want with their land, however, in this case he is very concerned that if the area is annexed into the City, 1/4 lots could be put in the area. He explained that 1/4 lots could bring 69 potential houses into an area that is already congested. He explained that if it is kept in the county it would cut down the density. He explained that he has 60 signatures opposing the annexation, Planning and Zoning has denied, and all of his constituents are against the annexation. He added that Mr. and Mrs. Counts are at a bad position being annexed in and he feels it's wrong to drag anyone in against their own will.

0:48:14      **Mr. Wendell Bannigan explained that one of the reasons people would want to be annexed into the City is for City services, to vote, and to run for office.**

**Mr. Bannigan** explained that those are good reasons to come into the City if you are living on the property proposed to be annexed but this property is vacant. He explained that the area proposed for annexation is surrounded entirely by 1 acre lots or better, so the character of the area is 1 acre lots. He explained that if the area is annexed into the City the surrounding property will have no protection and the character of the area will be disrupted. He gave some reasons why the property should not be annexed: infill, condemned property in the City, sprawl, planning and zoning denied. He said his only protection to maintain the character of the area lies in the hands of the Council and their decision.

0:53:41      **Councilman Doporto asked Mr. Bannigan if he had to choose between RC-3 or R-R which he would rather have.**

**Mr. Bannigan** said he would like to see what is already in the area which is a subdivision of single family homes on 1 acre lots.

0:54:58 **Ms. Rita Nelson a resident of Guadalupe Street explained that the problem is over crowding at Riverside Elementary School and is opposed to the area being changed to less than 1 acre lots.**

0:56:57 **Ms. Laura Keegan explained that she lives in the area on 3 acres of land and is opposed to the annexation.**

**Ms. Keegan** explained that she also has a son that goes to Riverside Elementary and said that there is a lot of pedestrian traffic in the area and a lot of people that zip through there making it unsafe for children to walk or ride bicycles. She added that it will become worse if 69 houses are built in the area and added she is also worried about the health of the elderly in the neighborhood.

0:59:32 **Mr. Randy Counts explained that he lives on the 0.80 acre parcel that may be annexed and is requesting denial.**

**Mr. Counts** explained that he has enjoyed living in the County for the past 23 years. He explained that he moved to La Huerta to have a bigger place like everyone else in the neighborhood. He explained that he is not against Ms. Tracy subdividing the area into 1 acre lots and building single family housing. He added that if the annexation is approved the lots could be much smaller than 1/4 acre and up to 100 houses and that would put a strain on the City services and he would like to see it remain as it is.

1:01:03 **Mayor Janway asked if anyone else would like to speak regarding this issue. No one appeared and the Mayor declared the public hearing closed and reconvened the Council in regular session.**

1:01:06 **B. Consider Ordinance No. 2013-07**

**Councilman Shirley** asked Ms. Shumsky if a bar could go in the area in both RC-3 and R-R. **Ms. Shumsky** said that in RC-3 a private club with a bar is allowed and in R-R a private club or bar is allowed.

**Councilman Shirley** asked Councilman Nunley, the principal of Riverside Elementary, for her opinion on the effect that the annexation could have on the school. **Councilman Nunley** explained that Riverside is at capacity at this time with overloaded classes. She added that new students are a problem that the school would like to have. She explained her concerns are the amount of traffic in the area because the roads are in terrible shape and the water and sewer services.

**Mayor Janway** said that he asked Chief Fierro to conduct a traffic study of the area. **Chief Fierro** explained that he requested information for Cherry Lane from the Sheriff's Department but was not able to get the numbers for tonight. He explained that the numbers he has are based on the reports he has from February. He explained that the road is not unlike other roads in the City, the road has more calls than some and fewer than others and the Police Department would provide services to the area.

**Councilman Whitlock** asked Commissioner Volpato what kind of authority the County has regarding the zoning of the area. **Commissioner Volpato** explained that the County has zoning authority for approximately 5 miles. He explained that the County does have limited authority and within the newly formed committee he expects all of that to change within the 15 month deadline that Council has placed on the ETZ. He added that special uses such as a bar, night club, or sand and gravel pit would have to go to planning and zoning because they are uses that are not consistent with what is currently in the area.

**Councilman Doss** asked Chief Fierro if the Police Department receives a call in the County would they take the call. **Chief Fierro** said it depends on the nature of the call. He explained that if it is an emergency the department is crossed commissioned with the County and does have the ability to take enforcement action.

1:09:13      **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Nunley and seconded by Councilman Salcido to deny approval of Ordinance No. 2013-07, an Ordinance Annexing a portion of Land containing 23.15 acres, more or less, contiguous to the City of Carlsbad, New Mexico located on the West Side of Guadalupe Street Between Vineyard Lane and Cherry Lane pursuant to the petition method provided for in Section 3-7-1 et. Seq., NMSA 1978.

1:09:25      **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters; No - Doporto, Doss, Shirley, Whitlock, and Aguilar; Absent - None; The motion was denied.

1:10:17      **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Doporto and seconded by Councilman Shirley to approve Ordinance No. 2013-07, an Ordinance Annexing a portion of Land containing 23.15 acres, more or less, contiguous to the City of Carlsbad, New Mexico located on the West Side of Guadalupe Street Between Vineyard Lane and Cherry Lane pursuant to the petition method provided for in Section 3-7-1 et. Seq., NMSA 1978.

1:10:29      **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Shirley, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - Nunley, Salcido, Waters; Absent - None; The motion carried.

1:10:56      **8. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE NO. 2013-08, AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING "R-R" RURAL RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ZONING IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ANNEXATION OF AN APPROXIMATELY 23.15 ACRE AREA AND AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE CITY PURSUANT TO SECTION 3-21-1 ET. SEQ. NMSA, 1978 AND SECTIONS 56-150(b) AND 56-140(i), CARLSBAD CODE OF ORDINANCES**

**Ms. Shumsky** gave a summary explaining that this request is to establish zoning for the previous item annexing 23.15 acre area. She explained that when the City annexes

property, the City needs to establish City zoning. She said in this case the applicant has requested R-R Rural Residential zoning, which is the most similar to the existing zoning and allows similar types of uses.

1:12:40      **A.      Public Hearing**

**Ms. Flora Louise Tracy** explained that she is asking for R-R zoning. She explained that she has no plans except to sell the parcel. She explained that she changed the request for zoning from R-1 to R-R to placate the individuals that live adjacent to the property.

**Mr. Wendell Bannigan** said that the surrounding residents are going to get stuck with R-R whether they want it or not. He explained that he understands that if there is no designation for zoning when land is annexed it automatically becomes R-R. He showed some photos of what the residents want to preserve, which is the openness of the area and the county living.

1:16:19      **Mayor Janway asked if anyone else would like to speak regarding this issue. No one appeared and the Mayor declared the public hearing closed and reconvened the Council in regular session.**

1:16:22      **B.      Consider Ordinance No. 2013-08**

1:16:34      **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Doss and seconded by Councilman Doporto to approve Ordinance No. 2013-08, an Ordinance establishing "R-R" Rural Residential District Zoning in conjunction with the Annexation of an approximately 23.15 acre area and amending the official zoning map of the City pursuant to Section 3-21-1 et. Seq. NMSA, 1978 and Section 56-150(b) and 56-140(i), Carlsbad Code of Ordinances.

1:16:40      **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Nunley, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - Salcido; Absent - None; The motion carried.

1:16:57      **9.      COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:**

1:17:14      **Mayor Janway asked if anyone present would like to address the Council.**

**Mr. Randall Counts** asked if his property is now R-R. **Ms. Riordan** explained that both the annexation and the zone change were approved and that does include his property.

**Mr. Counts** said that this does not benefit him at all; except that his taxes will go up and he will not receive City services unless he pays for them.

**Ms. Rita Nelson** said that when she purchased her land she was told it was R-R and she was told she could not put a trailer on the property for longer than it would take to build her home. She explained that she moved to the area to be in the County.

1:19:22      **10. ADJOURN**

1:19:24      **Motion**

The motion was made by Councilman Waters and seconded by Councilman Shirley to approve Adjourn.

1:19:29      **Vote**

The vote was as follows: Yes - Doporto, Doss, Nunley, Salcido, Shirley, Waters, Whitlock, Aguilar; No - None; Absent - None; The motion carried.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:24 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/Dale Janway  
Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/Annette Barrick  
City Clerk